IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RIAL No.

10/664,233

CONFIRMATION No.:

3364

APPLICANT

Andrew D. Park

FILED

September 17, 2003

ART UNIT EXAMINER 3641

DOCKET NO

Troy Chambers

267/8

CUSTOMER NO

36829

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS

Sir:

Enclosed please find a Response, a Petition for Extension of Time, and a check in the amount of \$55.00 for any extension of time fees to be filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above-referenced patent application. If the accompanying paper is received after any applicable due date, Petition for Extension of Time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 is hereby made.

If there are any additional fees due in connection with this matter, please charge Applicant's Deposit Account No. 50-2716. A duplicate of this paper is being submitted for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted

Jeffrey J. Schwartz Attornex for Applicant Ælo. 37,532

SCHWARTZ LAW FIRM, P.C. SouthPark Towers 6100 Fairview Road, Suite 530 Charlotte, NC 28210

TEL: (704) 552-1889 FAX: (704) 552-1866

EMAIL: jjs@schwartz-iplaw.com

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 October 20, 2004

> October 20, 2004 Date

Kristen Salgado

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO:

10/664,233

CONFIRMATION NO.:

3364

APPLICANT:

Andrew D. Park

FILED:

September 17, 2003

ART UNIT:

3641

EXAMINER:

Troy Chambers

DOCKET NO: CUSTOMER NO: 267/8 36829

FOR:

HARD ARMOR COMPOSITE

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is responsive to the Examiner's Office Action dated August 20, 2004. The Office Action requires the election of multiple species, as outlined in paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(c), and 1(d).

In view of the above, Applicant makes the following *provisional* elections:

- Regarding Paragraph 1(a), Applicant elects Species A as defined in the
 Markush Group of Claim 5;
- Regarding Paragraph 1(b), Applicant elects <u>ceramic</u> from the Markush Group of Claim 8;
- Regarding Paragraph 1(c), Applicant elects <u>aramid</u> from the Markush Groups of Claims 9 and 20; and
- Regarding Paragraph 1(d), Applicant elects <u>boron carbide</u> from the Markush
 Group of Claim 14.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mall in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 October 20, 2004

October 20, 2004

With regard to the election requirement of Paragraph 1(a), Applicant makes this provisional election *without traverse*. Claims 1, 4, 13, and 15 are generic. In the event any one of these claims is allowable, Applicant acknowledges its right to consideration of all claims to the non-elected species. Original Claims 6, 7, and 16 are drawn to the non-elected species.

With regard to the election requirement of Paragraph 1(b), Applicant respectfully submits that all members of this Markush Group should be examined together, regardless of whether they are directed towards distinct inventions. See M.P.E.P. §803.02. The members of the Markush Group are few in number and sufficiently related that a search and examination of the entire claim can be made "without serious burden." Id. The members of Claim 8 are each alternative elements of the *rigid facing* recited in generic Claim 1.

With regard to the election requirement of Paragraph 1(c), Applicant respectfully submits that all members of this Markush Group should likewise be examined together, regardless of whether they are directed towards distinct inventions. See M.P.E.P. §803.02. The members of the Markush Group are few in number and sufficiently related that a search and examination of the entire claim can be made "without serious burden." Id. The members of Claims 9 and 20 are each high-performance fibers—this element being recited in generic Claims 1 and 13, respectively.

With regard to the election requirement of Paragraph 1(d), Applicant respectfully submits that all members of this Markush Group should be examined together, regardless of whether they are directed towards distinct inventions. See M.P.E.P. §803.02. The members of the Markush Group are few in number and sufficiently related that a search and examination of the entire claim can be made "without serious burden." Id. The members of Claim 14 are alternative materials for the *ceramic facing* recited in generic Claim 13.

For all these reasons discussed above, Applicant submits that the provisional election requirements for Markush Claims 8, 9 & 20, and 14 (as outlined in Paragraphs 1(b)-(d), respectively) be traversed. The provisional election of Species A of Paragraph 1(a) is made *without traverse*. Claims 1, 4, 13, and 15 are generic. Such further action is respectfully requested at an early date. If the Examiner believes that issues remain for discussion, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted

Jeffrey J Schwartz Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 37,532

Jeffrey J. Schwartz Schwartz Law Firm, P.C. SouthPark Towers 6100 Fairview Road, Suite 530 Charlotte, North Carolina 28210

Tel: 704-552-1889 Fax: 704-552-1866

Email: jjs@schwartz-iplaw.com